

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/754,413		01/09/2004	Paul Akers	P214498	7945
30662	7590	04/07/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHACHT LAW OFFICE, INC.				SMALLEY, JAMES N	
SUITE 202 2801 MERI	DIAN ST	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BELLINGH	AM, WA	M, WA 98225-2412		3727	
				DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			ζ.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/754,413	AKERS, PAUL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James N. Smalley	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	\$
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will be stated and the period for reply will	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	January 2006.		
,	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			its is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-4,7,9,11,15 and 16 is/are pending	g in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,15 and 16</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7 and 9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received. ents have been received in A	opplication No	_
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stag	le
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a l		racaivad	
See the attached detailed Office action for a r	ist of the defined copies not	·	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152))

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fellows et al. US Des. 283,983 Schneider US 4,318,490.

Fellows '983 teaches a cover for a container cap or outlet which has an inwardly curved back edge, but fails to explicitly teach a pressure sensitive adhesive.

Schneider '490 teaches a cover for closing bottles comprising stiff backings (6) and (11), and a pressure sensitive adhesive (7), (9) and (12). In col. 2, lines 62-68, the reference teaches the backings should be of sufficient rigidity to prevent bending when being handled.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the tab of Fellows '983, providing it with a pressure sensitive adhesive, such as that taught by Schneider '490, motivated by the benefit of providing a means to secure the seal to the associated container.

Regarding the intended use of the claimed invention, i.e. a cover for a beverage container assembly, the invention taught by Fellows '983 is capable of being used in the intended manner. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Regarding claims 4, 7 and 9, Examiner notes the portions of the lid appear to be relative to a "cover portion" which is dependant upon the side of the drink opening on which the cover is placed. In other words, the size of the drink opening and the orientation of the cover relative to this drink opening, will thus define the sizes of the first, second, third and forth portions. Because the claim is drawn to the

Application/Control Number: 10/754,413 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

cover, and the placement of the cover on a beverage container lid is the intended use of the device, the invention taught by Fellows '983 anticipates the claimed invention because it is capable of being used in the intended manner, in view of *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987) noted above.

Regarding claims 2-3, Fellows '983 fails to teach the stiffness of the cover member.

Schneider '490 teaches in col. 2, lines 62-68, the reference teaches the backings should be of sufficient rigidity to prevent bending when being handled. However the method for determining the stiffness disclosed in col. 3, lines 1-11 appears to be different than the ASTN D 790-96cn method taught in page 12 of the Specification.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the covering device of Fellows '983 to a deflection of between 0.0 and 1.2 inches by the ASTN D 790-96cn method, or to any other stiffness, in view of the teaching of Schneider '490 that a cover must have sufficient rigidity to assist in removal of the cover, and because has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 11 and 15-16 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3727

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James N. Smalley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4547. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-6:30, Alternate Fri 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nathan Newhouse can be reached on (571) 272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MATHAN J. NEWHOUSE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

jns